The Simplex Algorithm

Chapter 5



Decision Procedures An Algorithmic Point of View

D.Kroening O.Strichman

Revision 1.0



- **2** Satisfiability with Simplex
- 3 General Simplex Form
- 4 Simplex Basics



• Given a linear system Ax = b

 $\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1k} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2k} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{k1} & a_{k2} & \dots & a_{kk} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_k \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ \vdots \\ b_k \end{pmatrix}$

Manipulate A|b to obtain an upper-triangular form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a'_{11} & a'_{12} & \dots & a'_{1k} & b'_1 \\ 0 & a'_{22} & \dots & a'_{2k} & b'_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & a'_{kk} & b'_k \end{pmatrix}$$

Then, solve backwards from k's row according to:

$$x_i = \frac{1}{a'_{ii}}(b'_i - \sum_{j=i+1}^k a'_{ij}x_j)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ -2 & 3 & 4 \\ 4 & -1 & -8 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 6 \\ 3 \\ 9 \end{pmatrix} \implies \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & | & 6 \\ -2 & 3 & 4 & | & 3 \\ 4 & -1 & -8 & | & 9 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ -2 & 3 & 4 \\ 4 & -1 & -8 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 6 \\ 3 \\ 9 \end{pmatrix} \implies \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & | & 6 \\ -2 & 3 & 4 & | & 3 \\ 4 & -1 & -8 & | & 9 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$R3 = \begin{pmatrix} 4, & -1, & -8 & | & 9 \\ -4R1 = \begin{pmatrix} -4, & -8, & -4 & | & -24 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & | & 6 \\ -2 & 3 & 4 & | & 3 \\ 0 & -9 & -12 & | & -15 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ -2 & 3 & 4 \\ 4 & -1 & -8 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 6 \\ 3 \\ 9 \end{pmatrix} \implies \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & | & 6 \\ -2 & 3 & 4 & | & 3 \\ 4 & -1 & -8 & | & 9 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$R3 = \begin{pmatrix} 4, & -1, & -8 & | & 9 \\ -4R1 = \begin{pmatrix} -4, & -8, & -4 & | & -24 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & | & 6 \\ -2 & 3 & 4 & | & 3 \\ 0 & -9 & -12 & | & -15 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ -2 & 3 & 4 \\ 4 & -1 & -8 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 6 \\ 3 \\ 9 \end{pmatrix} \implies \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & | & 6 \\ -2 & 3 & 4 & | & 3 \\ 4 & -1 & -8 & | & 9 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$R3 = \begin{pmatrix} 4, & -1, & -8 & | & 9 \\ -4R1 = \begin{pmatrix} -4, & -8, & -4 & | & -24 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & | & 6 \\ -2 & 3 & 4 & | & 3 \\ 4 & -1 & -8 & | & 9 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$R3 = \begin{pmatrix} 4, & -1, & -8 & | & 9 \\ -2 & 3 & 4 & | & 3 \\ 0 & -9 & -12 & | & -15 \end{pmatrix}$$

Now: $x_3 = -1$, $x_2 = 3$, $x_1 = 1$. Problem solved!

Decision Procedures - The Simplex Algorithm

 Simplex was originally designed for solving the *optimization problem*:

 $\max \vec{c} \, \vec{x} \\ \text{s.t.} \\ A \vec{x} \le \vec{b}, \quad \vec{x} \ge \vec{0}$

• We are only interested in the *feasibility problem* = satisfiability problem.

- We will learn a variant called *general simplex*.
- Very suitable for solving the satisfiability problem fast.

- We will learn a variant called general simplex.
- Very suitable for solving the satisfiability problem fast.
- The input: $A\vec{x} \leq \vec{b}$
 - A is a $m \times n$ coefficient matrix
 - The problem variables are $\vec{x} = x_1, \ldots, x_n$

• First step: convert the input to general form

Definition (General Form)

$$A\vec{x} = 0$$
 and $\bigwedge_{i=1}^{m} l_i \le s_i \le u_i$

A combination of

- Linear equalities of the form $\sum_i a_i x_i = 0$
- Lower and upper bounds on variables

• Replace $\sum_{i} a_i x_i \bowtie b_j$ (where $\bowtie \in \{=, \leq, \geq\}$) with $\sum_{i} a_i x_i - s_j = 0$ and $s_j \bowtie b_j$.

• s_1, \ldots, s_m are called the *additional variables*

Convert $x + y \ge 2!$

Convert $x + y \ge 2!$

Result: $x + y - s_1 = 0$ $s_1 \ge 2$

It is common to keep the conjunctions implicit

Convert

Decision Procedures - The Simplex Algorithm

11

Convert

$$\begin{array}{rrrr} x & +y & \geq 2\\ 2x & -y & \geq 0\\ -x & +2y & \geq 1 \end{array}$$

Result:

Linear inequality constraints, geometrically, define a *convex polyhedron*.



Our example from before:



- Recall the general form: $A\vec{x} = 0$ and $\bigwedge_{i=1}^{m} l_i \leq s_i \leq u_i$
- A is now an $m \times (n+m)$ matrix due to the additional variables.

• The diagonal part is inherent to the general form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x & y & s_1 & s_2 & s_3 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

• Instead, we can write:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & x & y \\ s_1 & \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 1 \\ s_2 & \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{array} \right) \end{array} \right)$$

- The tableaux changes throughout the algorithm, but maintains its $m \times n$ structure
- Distinguish basic and nonbasic variables

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & x & y & \longleftarrow & \mathsf{Nonbasic variables} \\ \mathsf{Basic variables} & \longrightarrow & s_1 & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ s_2 & \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \end{array}$$

• Initially, basic variables = the additional variables

Notation:

$\begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{B} & \text{the basic variables} \\ \mathcal{N} & \text{the nonbasic variables} \end{array} \end{array}$

• The tableaux is simply a different notation for the system

$$\bigwedge_{x_i \in \mathcal{B}} \left(x_i = \sum_{x_j \in \mathcal{N}} a_{ij} x_j \right)$$

• The basic variables are also called the dependent variables.

- Simplex maintains:
 - The tableau,
 - an assignment α to all variables,
 - an assignment to the bounds.

- Initially,
 - $\mathcal{B} = additional variables,$
 - $\mathcal{N} = \mathsf{problem}$ variables,
 - $\alpha(xi) = 0$ for $i \in \{1, ..., n+m\}$

- Two invariants are maintained throughout:
 - **1** $A \vec{x} = 0$
 - All nonbasic variables satisfy their bounds
- The basic variables need not satisy their bounds

- Two invariants are maintained throughout:
 - **1** $A \vec{x} = 0$
 - All nonbasic variables satisfy their bounds
- The basic variables need not satisy their bounds

- Can you see why these invariants are maintained initially?
- We should check that they are indeed maintained

• The initial assignment satisfies $A\vec{x} = 0$

• If the bounds of all basic variables are satisfied by $\alpha,$ return 'Satisfiable'

• Otherwise... pivot.

Pivoting

- Find a basic variable x_i that violates its bounds. Suppose that $\alpha(x_i) < l_i$.
- **2** Find a nonbasic variable x_j such that
 - $a_{ij} > 0$ and $\alpha(x_j) < u_j$, or
 - $a_{ij} < 0$ and $\alpha(x_j) > l_j$.

Why?

Pivoting

- Find a basic variable x_i that violates its bounds. Suppose that $\alpha(x_i) < l_i$.
- **2** Find a nonbasic variable x_j such that
 - $a_{ij} > 0$ and $\alpha(x_j) < u_j$, or
 - $a_{ij} < 0$ and $\alpha(x_j) > l_j$.

Why? Such a variable is called suitable.

Pivoting

- Find a basic variable x_i that violates its bounds. Suppose that $\alpha(x_i) < l_i$.
- **2** Find a nonbasic variable x_j such that
 - $a_{ij} > 0$ and $\alpha(x_j) < u_j$, or
 - $a_{ij} < 0$ and $\alpha(x_j) > l_j$.

Why? Such a variable is called suitable.

If there is no suitable variable, return 'Unsatisfiable' Why?

Pivoting w_i and w_j (1)

() Solve equation i for x_j :

From:
$$x_i = a_{ij}x_j + \sum_{k \neq j} a_{ik}x_k$$

To: $x_j = \frac{x_i}{a_{ij}} - \sum_{k \neq j} \frac{a_{ik}}{a_{ij}}x_k$

Pivoting \sim and \sim (1)

() Solve equation i for x_j :

From:
$$x_i = a_{ij}x_j + \sum_{k \neq j} a_{ik}x_k$$

To: $x_j = \frac{x_i}{a_{ij}} - \sum_{k \neq j} \frac{a_{ik}}{a_{ij}}x_k$

2 Swap x_i and x_j , and update the *i*-th row accordingly

From:	a_{i1}	 a_{ij}	 a_{in}
To:	$\boxed{\frac{-a_{i1}}{a_{ij}}}$	 $\frac{1}{a_{ij}}$	 $\left \frac{-a_{in}}{a_{ij}} \right $

Pivoting w_i and w_j (2)

Update all other rows:
 Replace x_i with its equivalent obtained from row i:

$$x_j = \frac{x_i}{a_{ij}} - \sum_{k \neq j} \frac{a_{ik}}{a_{ij}} x_k$$

Pivoting w_j and w_j (2)

Opdate all other rows:

Replace x_j with its equivalent obtained from row *i*:

$$x_j = \frac{x_i}{a_{ij}} - \sum_{k \neq j} \frac{a_{ik}}{a_{ij}} x_k$$

• Update α as follows:

- Increase $\alpha(x_j)$ by $\theta = \frac{l_i \alpha(x_i)}{a_{ij}}$ Now x_j is a basic variable: it may violate its bounds Update $\alpha(x_i)$ accordingly Q: What is $\alpha(x_i)$ now?
- Update α for all other basic (dependent) variables

Pivoting: Example (1)

• Recall the tableau and constraints in our example:



• Initially, α assigns 0 to all variables

 \implies The bounds of s_1 and s_3 are violated

• Recall the tableau and constraints in our example:



• Initially, α assigns 0 to all variables

 \implies The bounds of s_1 and s_3 are violated

- We will fix s_1 .
- x is a suitable nonbasic variable for pivoting.
 It has no upper bound!
- So now we pivot s_1 with x

Pivoting: Example (2)



Pivoting: Example (2)



$$s_1 = x + y \iff x = s_1 - y$$

Decision Procedures - The Simplex Algorithm

Pivoting: Example (2)



• Solve 1st row for *x*:

$$s_1 = x + y \iff x = s_1 - y$$

• Replace x in other rows:

$$s_2 = 2(s_1 - y) - y \iff s_2 = 2s_1 - 3y$$

$$s_3 = -(s_1 - y) + 2y \iff s_3 = -s_1 + 3y$$

Decision Procedures - The Simplex Algorithm

Pivoting: Example (3)

$$\begin{array}{rcl} x & = & s_1 - y \\ s_2 & = & 2s_1 - 3y \\ s_3 & = & -s_1 + 3y \end{array}$$

Pivoting: Example (3)

This results in the following new tableau:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} x & = & s_1 - y \\ s_2 & = & 2s_1 - 3y \\ s_3 & = & -s_1 + 3y \end{array}$$

Pivoting: Example (3)

This results in the following new tableau:

x	=	$s_1 - y$
s_2	=	$2s_1 - 3y$
s_3	=	$-s_1 + 3y$

	s_1	y	. 0	/	
x	1	-1	· 2	\geq	s_1
s_2	2	-3	. 0	\geq	82 80
s_3	-1	3	· 1	_	33

What about the assignment?

- We should increase x by $\theta = \frac{2-0}{1} = 2$
- Hence, $\alpha(x) = 0 + 2 = 2$
- Now s_1 is equal to its lower bound: $\alpha(s_1) = 2$
- Update all the others

	S1	11	lpha(x)	=	2			
	<u> </u>	9	$= \alpha(u)$	=	0	2	<	S_1
x	1	-1	$O(s_1)$	_	2	0	~	~ 1 60
80	2	-3	$- \alpha(s_1)$	_	2	0	\geq	32
52		0	$\alpha(s_2)$	=	4	1	\leq	s_3
s_3	-1	3	$lpha(s_3)$	=	-2			-

	S1	11	lpha(x)	=	2			
		9	$\alpha(u)$	=	0	2	<	S 1
x	1	-1	$\alpha(g)$		õ	_		01
		2	$\alpha(s_1)$	=	2	0	\leq	s_2
s_2		-3	$\alpha(s_2)$	=	4	1	<	82
S_{2}	-1	3			-	-		÷0
5	1	-	$\alpha(s_3)$	=	-2			

- Now s₃ violates its lower bound
- Which nonbasic variable is suitable for pivoting?

	S1	11	$\alpha(x)$;) =	2			
		9	$\alpha(u)$) =	0	2	<	S 1
x	1	-1	$\alpha(g)$	· ·	0	_		01
-	0	2	$\alpha(s)$	(1) =	2	0	\leq	s_2
s_2		-3	$\alpha(s)$) =	4	1	<	82
S2	-1	3	a(0,	2)	-	-		00
~0	-	0	$lpha(s_{z})$	$_{3}) =$	-2			

- Now s₃ violates its lower bound
- Which nonbasic variable is suitable for pivoting? That's right...y

	S1	11	lpha(x)	=	2			
	01	9	$\alpha(u)$	=	0	2	<	S_1
x	1	-1	$\alpha(g)$	_	จ	0	2	- 1
80	2	-3	$\alpha(s_1)$	_	2	0	\geq	s_2
- 52		0	$\alpha(s_2)$	=	4	1	\leq	s_3
s_3	-1	3	$\alpha(s_3)$	=	-2			

- Now s₃ violates its lower bound
- Which nonbasic variable is suitable for pivoting? That's right...y
- We should increase y by $\theta = \frac{1-(-2)}{3} = 1$

The final state:

All constraints are satisfied.

The additional variables:

- Only additional variables have bounds.
- These bounds are permanent.
- Additional variables enter the base only on extreme points (their lower or upper bounds).
- When entering the base, they shift towards the other bound and possibly cross it (violate it).

Q: Can it be that we pivot x_i, x_j and then pivot x_j, x_i and thus enter a (local) cycle? Q: Can it be that we pivot x_i, x_j and then pivot x_j, x_i and thus enter a (local) cycle?

A: No.

- For example, suppose that $a_{ij} > 0$.
- We increased $\alpha(x_j)$ so now $\alpha(x_i) = l_i$.
- After pivoting, possibly $\alpha(x_j) > u_j$, but $a'_{ij} = 1/a_{ij} > 0$, hence the coefficient of x_i is not suitable

Is termination guaranteed?

Is termination guaranteed?

• Not obvious. Perhaps there are bigger cycles.

- In order to avoid circles, we use Bland's rule:
 - Determine a total order on the variables
 - Choose the first basic variable that violates its bounds, and the first nonbasic suitable variable for pivoting.
 - It can be shown that this guarantees that no base is repeated, which implies termination.

Transform the system into the general form

$$A\vec{x} = 0$$
 and $\bigwedge_{i=1}^{m} l_i \le s_i \le u_i$.

- **2** Set \mathcal{B} to be the set of additional variables s_1, \ldots, s_m .
- **③** Construct the tableau for A.
- Otermine a fixed order on the variables.
- If there is no basic variable that violates its bounds, return 'Satisfiable''. Otherwise, let x_i be the first basic variable in the order that violates its bounds.
- Search for the first suitable nonbasic variable x_j in the order for pivoting with x_i. If there is no such variable, return 'Unsatisfiable''.
- **O** Perform the pivot operation on x_i and x_j .
- Go to step 5.